NORTH CAROLINA PLANT CONSERVATION PROGRAM RARE PLANT REINTRODUCTION, AUGMENTATION, AND TRANSPLANTATION GUIDELINES

March, 2005

This document was prepared by the North Carolina Plant Conservation Program Scientific Committee. This Committee contains representatives from the NC Natural Heritage Program, NC Botanical Garden, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Herbarium, NC State University Herbarium, NC Museum of Natural Sciences, The Nature Conservancy, NC Nurseryman's Association, and a NC citizen group.

For conserving rare plant species, there is no substitute for *in situ* ecosystem protection coupled with a thorough status survey and careful review of rarity, rank, and threats. Reintroduction, augmentation, or transplantation should only be considered if habitat protection is not possible or if the taxon is critically imperiled and appropriate sites and propagule source material are available. (Reintroduction is the appropriate recreation of a population, augmentation adds conspecific individuals to an existing population, and transplantation is the relocation of individuals from one site to another.)

It is important to have a good reason for attempting a rare plant reintroduction, as this action is time consuming and requires considerable resources. Any manipulation of a rare plant population should be viewed as an experimental process and meaningful results can only be determined through careful monitoring and evaluation. Reintroduction, augmentation, or transplantation is a three-step process of planning, implementing and monitoring. Each step must be carefully documented, approved by the appropriate state and federal agencies, and discussed with the Fish and Wildlife Service species lead biologist.

The following reintroduction guidelines and checksheet are based on information from numerous sources listed in Resources and Literature Cited. The purpose of this document is to help in the preparation of a reintroduction plan and as a guide for addressing some of the critical points in a successful rare plant reintroduction, augmentation or transplantation. As the rare plant regulatory agency within the state, the NC Plant Conservation Program requests and evaluates completed checksheets as part of the permitting process.

Transplantation Considerations

In recent years, the tranplantation of rare plant species from threatened to apparently non-threatened sites has become increasingly favored by some land owners and land use agencies. For several biological and ecological reasons, it is strongly advised to not transplant rare plants unless all other options have been exhausted and reduction or extirpation is inevitable. Instead, it is far better to protect rare plant populations where they currently occur. Every existing population resides in a unique environment that cannot be duplicated or fully understood.

Transplantation increases the risks for both the relocated taxon and for the site where it is introduced. Any movement of individuals (whether whole plants or seeds) to another site is an introduction of off-site genotypes, and may result in new interspecies dynamics, such as competition for resources (e.g., pollinators, soil nutrients, moisture,

and light). It is also likely that such transplantations will result in the unwitting introduction of other taxa associated with the relocated species as soil contaminants.

If transplantation occurs as a last resort, it is essential to make a thorough ecological assessment of the original site prior to moving the plants. Once the site is disrupted, this information may be forever lost. All information is relevant for protecting, managing, and restoring the species itself and the ecosystem to which it belongs. Relocated plants risk, for example, not being able to establish essential relationships at a site, such as with a specific pollinator or soil organism. And only years of careful study can show if the transplantation was successful.

GUIDELINES AND CHECKSHEET

Goal(s)

Indicate the goals of the reintroduction/augmentation/transplant project. In most rare plant reintroductions, it is probably unrealistic to state that the goal is to create a viable population in a self-sustaining habitat. A more appropriate goal is: "To establish and maintain a viable population that requires a minimal amount of management intervention" (NEPCoP, 1992). Anticipate site management needs (e.g., prescribed fire, invasive exotic plant control, herbivory protection, watering during drought, etc.). Create a budget, determine time requirements, and ensure the availability of needed personnel.

Objectives

Develop objectives that are specific, measurable, and attainable (NEPCoP, 1992). Consult the species recovery plan if one exists and follow all appropriate recommendations. Pay careful attention to the results of both successful and unsuccessful attempts at rare plant reintroduction. Most unsuccessful reintroduction attempts, however, remain unpublished. It is therefore important to search the 'gray literature' and seek out the experiences of others.

Investigator(s) name(s) and contact information		
Reason(s) for reintroduction		
Documentation of reintroduction need		
Provide, on a separate sheet, a narrative that provides a justification for the reintroduction, the recovery objective, and show evidence of a thorough literature review.		
Species Biology and Plant Material		
Species Identification:		
Scientific name		
Synonymy		

Common name(s)				
Species Status: Natural Heritage: Global State Federal: State:				
Other states in which taxon occurs and S-ranks if available:				
Reason(s) for rarity: Habitat loss or degradation Specialized habitat Reduced reproduction Pathogen(s) Herbivory Other Unknown Previous known reintroductions or reintroduction attempts				
 General Life History Traits: Duration - Annual Perennial Obligate biennial Facultative biennial "Intermitent vegetative dormancy" Other Habit - Herb Shrub Tree Vine Epiphyte Other If hemi- or obligately parasitic, list host(s) Saprophytic Clonal Role in ecosystem (e.g., keystone species, host plant, nectar plant, etc.) 				
Reproductive Biology:				
• Phenology				
o vegetative emergence				
o vegetative duration				
o duration of: anthesis stigma receptivity				
 fruit maturation time and duration Self-pollinating Outbreeding Mixed-Mating Apomictic Other Unknown 				
Self-incompatible Self-compatible Managinus Diagricus Other				
 Monecious Dioecious Other Vegetative reproduction: importance (rate 0-5, unimportant to very important) 				
and mechanism				
Are pollinators present at reintroduction site? (Document possible pollinators)				
from extant sites. If not present, then make pollinator establishment part of				
reintroduction methodology or consider relocating reintroduction site where the	se			
pollinators occur.)				
o Flower visitors				
o Pollinators	_			

•	1 (gule dispersal mechanism(s)
		Primary - Wind Water Animal(s) (list) Gravity Other
	0	Secondary - Wind Water Animal(s) (list) Gravity Other
•		pagules animal dispersed, are these present (If not, include animal
	-	ser establishment in the reintroduction methodology.)
•		ive population size (Determine from extant populations if possible. If
	this is	not known, include this analysis in the reintroduction methodology.)
Taxon	Site R	equirements:
•	Edaph	ic conditions -
		Soil type and series pH
		Solid texture
•		ology – Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric
•	Aspec	t and Slope -
•	Expos	ure –
•	-	al requirements
	•	1
Seed/I	Propagi	ule Collection and Seed Banking (Ex situ conservation):
•	See at	tached Center for Plant Conservation seed collection guidelines.
•	Extent	t of natural seed bank and means of evaluation
•	Deterr	mination and arrangements made with the appropriate CPC Participating
	Institu	tion for germplasm reposition
Plant		al and Source:
•	Are al	l required permits and landowner permission granted?
•		e plants Seeds/Spores Vegetative part(s)
•	Numb	er of individuals planted If dioecious, sex ratio
•	Propag	gule source (use attached Center for Plant Conservation seed collection
	guidel	
	0	From existing population at reintroduction site Collection date
	0	From other population Distance from reintroduction site
	0	Is propagule source from stored germplasm? Collection date
	0	Proportion of seed collection accession used
	0	Element occurrence #(s)
	0	Voucher citation and location
	0	Propagule source sample size and representativeness of source material
	0	Was the entire population removed from the donor site (Is the donor
		population expected to be extirpated or remain extant)?
	0	Other information
•	Propag	gation protocols
	0	Germination protocols (e.g., stratification, dormancy, etc.) established
	0	Vegetative reproduction protocols (if necessary) established

 Propagation procedure Have mycorrhizal associations been determined? Number of maternal families represented Plan for using or disposing of surplus plant material Donor Site Information Demography of donor population: # or % Adult nonreproductive # or % Adultreproductive # or % Juvenile Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series Soil type and series Solid texture Hydrology – Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric Other Aspect and Slope - Exposure – Fire Prescription – Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Number of maternal families represented
 Plan for using or disposing of surplus plant material
Donor Site Information Demography of donor population: # or % Adult nonreproductive # or % Adultreproductive # or % Juvenile Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series pH Solid texture Hydrology - Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric Other Aspect and Slope - Exposure - Fire Prescription - Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Demography of donor population: # or % Adult nonreproductive # or % Adultreproductive # or % Juvenile Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 # or % Adult nonreproductive # or % Adultreproductive # or % Juvenile Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 # or % Adult nonreproductive # or % Adultreproductive # or % Juvenile Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 # or % Adultreproductive # or % Juvenile Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 Population density (indicate units used for counting plants, stems, clumps, etc. as well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 well as area. Eg: Clumps per square meter) Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series pH Solid texture Hydrology – Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric Other Aspect and Slope - Exposure – Fire Prescription – Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Population distribution (clumped, random, uniform, etc) Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 Edaphic conditions - Soil type and series
 Soil type and series pH Solid texture Hydrology - Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric Other Aspect and Slope - Exposure - Fire Prescription - Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Solid texture
 Hydrology – Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric Other Aspect and Slope - Exposure – Fire Prescription – Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
Other • Aspect and Slope - • Exposure - • Fire Prescription - • Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Aspect and Slope - Exposure - Fire Prescription - Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Exposure – Fire Prescription – Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Fire Prescription – Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
 Plant community classification and dominate species in herb, shrub, and canopy layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
layers- Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
Population genetic analysis and method(s) of evaluation (e.g., allozymes, DNA,
morphometric analysis)
Existing individuals (if present) genetic characterization
o Source material genetic characterization
 Nearby population(s) genetic characterization
 Is there evidence of inbreeding in any of the above
 Is there evidence of inbreeding or outbreeding depression
Deintus duction I acation
Reintroduction Location
Ranked Site Preference:
Immediately adjacent habitat containing the same ecological characteristics
Documented extant population on protected site
Documented historic location on a protected site
 Not a known historic location, but within historic geographic range, and with
similar physical and biological features
pujoten and otologien lendies
Reintroduction Site Ownership and Security:
Public land: Protected Protection mechanism Unprotected
Private land: Protected Protection mechanism and by whom

•	Potential threats after reintroduction (e.g., trail building or maintenance, fire rotation schedule, structures, etc.)
•	Adjacent land disruption or threats
•	Acquire all required permits and/or landowner permission
•	Landowner kept fully apprised of reintroduction status
_	Landowner kept rany apprised of femalodaetion states
Reintr	oduction / Transplant Site Characteristics:
•	Location Longitude & Latitude
	GPS coordinates and system
•	Site disturbance history
•	Land management practices
•	Geologic parent material
•	Edaphic conditions
	o Soil type and series pH
	o Soil texture
•	Organic material composition
•	Hydrology – Aquatic Seasonally flooded Mesic Xeric Other
•	Aspect and Slope
•	Exposure
•	Special requirements
•	Plant community type and composition
•	Invasive exotic plants
•	What congeners are present with which rare plant introduction might hybridize

Reintroduction Methods:

- Use an experimental design approach, as all reintroductions should be thought of as experiments (Guerrant, 1996).
- Establish a monitoring protocol and schedule for both short- and long-term objectives. (See attached Monitoring report outline from Elizinga, et al., 1996, **Measuring and Monitoring Plant Populations**, p. 302.)
- Information on species biology and site requirements is often available from Natural Heritage Program **Element Occurrence Status Report** and from the US Fish & Wildlife Service.

Resources and Literature Cited

Elizinga, Cary L, et al. 1998. **Measuring and Monitoring Plant Populations**. United States Bureau of Land Management, Technical Reference 1730-1.

Falk, Donald A., et al., eds. 1996. **Restoring Diversity: Strategies for Reintroduction of Endangered Plants**. Center for Plant Conservation, Island Press.

- Falk, Donald A. and Kent E. Holsinger, eds. 1991. **Genetics and Conservation of Rare Plants**. Center for Plant Conservation, Oxford University Press.
- Givin, David R. 1994. **Principles and Practice of Plant Conservation**. IUCN, The World Conservation Union, Timber Press.
- Guerrant, Edward. 1996. **Designing populations: Demographic, genetic, and horticultural dimensions**. *In* Faulk, Donald A., et al., eds. 1996. **Restoring Diversity: Strategies for Reintroduction of Endangered Plants**. Center for Plant Conservation, Island Press.
- Guerrant, Edward, Kayri Havens, and Michael Maunder, eds. 2003. **Saving All The Pieces**. Center for Plant Conservation, Island Press (in press).
- Havens, Kayri. 1998. **The genetics of plant restoration**. Restoration and Management Notes, 16:1.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife and Heritage Division, Guidelines for Rare. 1999. **Threatened, and Endangered Plant Reintroductions in Maryland**.
- Morris, William, et al. 1999. A Practical Handbook for Population Viability Analysis. The Nature Conservancy.
- NEPCoP (New England Plant Conservation Program). 1992. New England Wild Flower Society, Inc. Vol. 7, No. 1.

Monitoring Reports

Executive Summary

- I. Introduction.
- II. Description of ecological model.
- III. Monitoring objective.
- IV. Monitoring design.
- V. Data sheet example.
- VI. Management implications of potential results.
- VII. Summary of results.

Include tables and figures communicating the results as well as general natural history information.

VIII. Interpretation of results.

Describe potential causes for the results observed, sources of uncertainty in the data, and implications of the results for the resource.

IX. Assessment of the monitoring project.

Describe time and resource requirements, efficiency of the methods, and suggestions for improvement.

X. Management recommendations.

A. Change in management.

Recommended changes based on results and the management implications identified in Section VI.

B. Change in monitoring.

Analysis of costs vs. information gain, effectiveness of current monitoring system, and recommended changes in monitoring.

XI. References.

Includes grey literature and personal communications.

XII. Reviewers.

List those who have reviewed drafts of the report.